

Ultrasonic Inspection Method And Advantages

| Method | Uses | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| Visual inspection | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cracks • Geometry • Surface roughness | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility • Oldest known technique • Well established | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subjective • Time consuming • Qualitative results |
| Liquid penetrant dye | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surface flaws • Detection of irregularities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portable • Easy interpretation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surface preparation • Exhausting for inspector • Time consuming |
| Chain drag | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flaw detection inside decks • Delaminations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simple • Portable • Good for delaminations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Time consuming • Tedious • Subjective • Not good with overlays |
| Half-cell potential | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detect corrosion state in concrete reinforcement • Corrosion rate | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simple • Portable • Good for corrosion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deck needs preparation • Time consuming • Not good for delaminations • Lane closure • Not very accurate |
| Acoustic emission | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cracks • Delaminations • Corrosion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Real-time response • No lane closures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualitative results only • Not good with overlays • Interpretation • Costly • Not reliable |
| Ultrasonic pulse velocity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homogeneity of concrete cracks, voids • Strength determination | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portable • Easy test procedure at relatively low cost • Relatively easy to interpret | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not very reliable for concrete • Attenuation negatively affects results • Does not give information about the shape of defect |
| Ground penetrating radar | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concrete mapping, mining, geotechnical, road, and bridge • Forensics • Detection of voids, honeycombing • Delaminations • Moisture | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Versatility • Portability • Effectiveness • Low cost • Good with overlays • Minimum traffic control • Prediction of repair quantities in road | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interpretation • Complexity of results • Interpretation of results sometimes requires destructive testing |
| Impact echo | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detection of voids, cracks, delaminations, unconsolidated concrete, and debonding • Determining thickness | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires one surface of the tested material to be exposed, independent of the geometry of the structure • Less susceptible to steel reinforcement • High accuracy | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Size of detected flaws is highly dependent on the impact duration • Less reliable in the presence of asphalt overlays • Interpretation of the results is difficult |
| Thermography | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detection of thermal differences, delaminations, cracks, voids | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portable • Simple, easy interpretation • Minimum traffic interference | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No information about depth of defects • Dependent on environmental conditions |

